

# Contest Quiz 3

## Question Sheet

---

In this quiz we will review concepts of linear regression covered in lecture 2.

NOTE: Please round your results to *two decimal places*.

EXAMPLE: If your unrounded solution is 0.13897439, drop all decimal places except the first three. This leaves you with 0.138. If the third decimal place is 5 or above (as is the case here), round up. This gives 0.14.

### Question 1: Simple linear regression

- (i) Consider the linear model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i$ . The variance of  $Y_i$  is given by
- (a)  $\beta_0^2 + \beta_1^2 \text{var}(X_i) + \text{var}(u_i)$ .
  - (b) the variance of  $u_i$ .
  - (c)  $\beta_1^2 \text{var}(X_i) + \text{var}(u_i)$ .
  - (d) the variance of the residuals.
- (ii) The sample average of the OLS residuals is
- (a) some positive number since OLS uses squares.
  - (b) zero.
  - (c) unobservable since the population regression function is unknown.
  - (d) dependent on whether the explanatory variable is mostly positive or negative.
- (iii) The slope estimator,  $\hat{\beta}_1$ , has a smaller standard error, other things equal, if
- (a) there is more variation in the explanatory variable,  $X$ .
  - (b) there is a large variance of the error term,  $u$ .
  - (c) the sample size is smaller.
  - (d) the intercept,  $\beta_0$ , is small.
- (iv) The regression  $R^2$  is a measure of
- (a) whether or not  $X$  causes  $Y$ .
  - (b) the goodness of fit of your regression line.
  - (c) whether or not  $ESS > TSS$ .
  - (d) the square of the determinant of  $R$ .

- (v) To decide whether or not the slope coefficient is large or small,
  - (a) you should analyse the economic importance of a given increase in  $X$ .
  - (b) the slope coefficient must be larger than one.
  - (c) the slope coefficient must be statistically significant.
  - (d) you should change the scale of the  $X$  variable if the coefficient appears to be too small.
- (vi) Multiplying the dependent variable by 100 and the explanatory variable by 100,000 leaves the
  - (a) OLS estimate of the slope the same.
  - (b) OLS estimate of the intercept the same.
  - (c) regression  $R^2$  the same.
  - (d) variance of the OLS estimators the same.
- (vii) In which of the following relationships does the intercept have a real-world interpretation?
  - (a) the relationship between the change in the unemployment rate and the growth rate of real GDP (“Okun’s Law”)
  - (b) the demand for coffee and its price
  - (c) test scores and class-size
  - (d) weight and height of individuals
- (viii) Changing the units of measurement, e.g. measuring test scores in 100s, will do all of the following EXCEPT for changing the
  - (a) residuals
  - (b) numerical value of the slope estimate
  - (c) interpretation of the effect that a change in  $X$  has on the change in  $Y$
  - (d) numerical value of the intercept

## Question 2: Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

- (i) When estimating a demand function for a good where quantity demanded is a linear function of the price, you should
  - (a) not include an intercept because the price of the good is never zero.
  - (b) use a one-sided alternative hypothesis to check the influence of price on quantity.
  - (c) use a two-sided alternative hypothesis to check the influence of price on quantity.
  - (d) reject the idea that price determines demand unless the coefficient is at least 1.96.
- (ii) The confidence interval for the sample regression function slope
  - (a) can be used to conduct a test about a hypothesized population regression function slope.
  - (b) can be used to compare the value of the slope relative to that of the intercept.
  - (c) adds and subtracts 1.96 from the slope.
  - (d) allows you to make statements about the economic importance of your estimate.

- (iii) Under the least squares assumptions (zero conditional mean for the error term,  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  being *i.i.d.*, and  $X_i$  and  $u_i$  having finite fourth moments), the OLS estimator for the slope and intercept
- (a) has an exact normal distribution for  $n > 15$ .
  - (b) is BLUE.
  - (c) has a normal distribution even in small samples.
  - (d) is unbiased.
- (iv) Consider the following regression line:  $\widehat{TestScore} = 698.9 - 2.28 * STR$ . You are told that the  $t$ -statistic on the slope coefficient is 4.38. What is the standard error of the slope coefficient?
- (v) The construction of the  $t$ -statistic for a one- and a two-sided hypothesis
- (a) depends on the critical value from the appropriate distribution.
  - (b) is the same.
  - (c) is different since the critical value must be 1.645 for the one-sided hypothesis, but 1.96 for the two-sided hypothesis (using a 5% probability for the Type I error).
  - (d) uses  $\pm 1.96$  for the two-sided test, but only  $+1.96$  for the one-sided test.
- (vi) The only difference between a one- and two-sided hypothesis test is
- (a) the null hypothesis.
  - (b) dependent on the sample size  $n$ .
  - (c) the sign of the slope coefficient.
  - (d) how you interpret the  $t$ -statistic.
- (vii) Using 143 observations, assume that you had estimated a simple regression function and that your estimate for the slope was 0.04, with a standard error of 0.01. You want to test whether or not the estimate is statistically significant. Which of the following possible decisions is the only correct one:
- (a) you decide that the coefficient is small and hence most likely is zero in the population
  - (b) the slope is statistically significant since it is four standard errors away from zero
  - (c) the response of  $Y$  given a change in  $X$  must be economically important since it is statistically significant
  - (d) since the slope is very small, so must be the regression  $R^2$ .

### Question 3: Multiple regression models

- (i) In the multiple regression model, the adjusted  $R^2$ ,  $\bar{R}^2$
- (a) cannot be negative.
  - (b) will never be greater than the regression  $R^2$ .
  - (c) equals the square of the correlation coefficient  $r_{Y\hat{Y}}$ .
  - (d) cannot decrease when an additional explanatory variable is added.

- (ii) Under imperfect multicollinearity
- (a) the OLS estimator cannot be computed.
  - (b) two or more of the regressors are highly correlated.
  - (c) the OLS estimator is biased even in samples of  $n > 100$ .
  - (d) the error terms are highly, but not perfectly, correlated.
- (iii) When there are omitted variables in the regression, which are determinants of the dependent variable, then
- (a) you cannot measure the effect of the omitted variable, but the estimator of your included variable(s) is (are) unaffected.
  - (b) this has no effect on the estimator of your included variable because the other variable is not included.
  - (c) this will always bias the OLS estimator of the included variable.
  - (d) the OLS estimator is biased if the omitted variable is correlated with the included variable.
- (iv) Imagine you regressed earnings of individuals on a constant, a binary variable (*Male*) which takes on the value 1 for males and is 0 otherwise, and another binary variable (*Female*) which takes on the value 1 for females and is 0 otherwise. Because females typically earn less than males, you would expect
- (a) the coefficient for *Male* to have a positive sign, and for *Female* a negative sign.
  - (b) both coefficients to be the same distance from the constant, one above and the other below.
  - (c) none of the OLS estimators to exist because there is perfect multicollinearity.
  - (d) this to yield a difference in means statistic.
- (v) When you have an omitted variable problem, the assumption that  $E(u_i X_i) = 0$  is violated. This implies that
- (a) the sum of the residuals is no longer zero.
  - (b) there is another estimator called weighted least squares, which is BLUE.
  - (c) the sum of the residuals times any of the explanatory variables is no longer zero.
  - (d) the OLS estimator is no longer consistent.
- (vi) In the multiple regression model you estimate the effect on  $Y_i$  of a unit change in one of the  $X_i$  while holding all other regressors constant. This
- (a) makes little sense, because in the real world all other variables change.
  - (b) corresponds to the economic principle of *mutatis mutandis*.
  - (c) leaves the formula for the coefficient in the single explanatory variable case unaffected.
  - (d) corresponds to taking a partial derivative in mathematics.

- (vii) You have to worry about perfect multicollinearity in the multiple regression model because
- many economic variables are perfectly correlated.
  - the OLS estimator is no longer BLUE.
  - the OLS estimator cannot be computed in this situation.
  - in real life, economic variables change together all the time.
- (viii) The intercept in the multiple regression model
- should be excluded if one explanatory variable has negative values.
  - determines the height of the regression line.
  - should be excluded because the population regression function does not go through the origin.
  - is statistically significant if it is larger than 1.96.
- (ix) The following OLS assumption is most likely violated by omitted variables bias:
- $E(u_i|X_i) = 0$
  - $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$  are *i.i.d* draws from their joint distribution
  - there are no outliers for  $X_i, u_i$
  - there is heteroskedasticity
- (x) In multiple regression, the  $R^2$  increases whenever a regressor is
- added unless the coefficient on the added regressor is exactly zero.
  - added.
  - added unless there is heteroskedasticity.
  - greater than 1.96 in absolute value.
- (xi) Consider the following multiple regression models (a) to (d) below.  $DFemme = 1$  if the individual is a female, and is zero otherwise;  $DMale$  is a binary variable which takes on the value one if the individual is male, and is zero otherwise;  $DMarried$  is a binary variable which is unity for married individuals and is zero otherwise, and  $DSingle$  is  $(1 - DMarried)$ . Regressing weekly earnings ( $Earn$ ) on a set of explanatory variables, you will experience perfect multicollinearity in the following cases unless:
- $\widehat{Earn}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 DFemme + \hat{\beta}_2 Dmale + \hat{\beta}_3 X_{3i}$
  - $\widehat{Earn}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 DMarried + \hat{\beta}_2 DSingle + \hat{\beta}_3 X_{3i}$
  - $\widehat{Earn}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 DFemme + \hat{\beta}_3 X_{3i}$
  - $\widehat{Earn}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 DFemme + \hat{\beta}_2 Dmale + \hat{\beta}_3 DMarried + \hat{\beta}_4 DSingle + \hat{\beta}_5 X_{3i}$
- (xii) Consider the multiple regression model with two regressors  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , where both variables are determinants of the dependent variable. When omitting  $X_2$  from the regression, then there will be omitted variable bias for  $\hat{\beta}_1$
- if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are correlated
  - always
  - if  $X_2$  is measured in percentages
  - if  $X_2$  is a dummy variable

- (xiii) Consider the multiple regression model with two regressors  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , where both variables are determinants of the dependent variable. You first regress  $Y$  on  $X_1$  only and find no relationship. However when regressing  $Y$  on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , the slope coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_1$  pertaining to  $X_1$  changes by a large amount. This suggests that your first regression suffers from
- (a) heteroskedasticity
  - (b) perfect multicollinearity
  - (c) omitted variable bias
  - (d) dummy variable trap
- (xiv) Imperfect multicollinearity
- (a) implies that it will be difficult to estimate precisely one or more of the partial effects using the data at hand
  - (b) violates one of the four Least Squares assumptions in the multiple regression model
  - (c) means that you cannot estimate the effect of at least one of the  $X$ s on  $Y$
  - (d) suggests that a standard spreadsheet program does not have enough power to estimate the multiple regression model

#### Question 4: Hypothesis tests in multiple regression

- (i) The following linear hypothesis can be tested using the  $F$ -test with the exception of
- (a)  $\beta_2 = 1$  and  $\beta_3 = \beta_4/\beta_5$ .
  - (b)  $\beta_2 = 0$ .
  - (c)  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 1$  and  $\beta_3 = -2\beta_4$ .
  - (d)  $\beta_0 = \beta_1$  and  $\beta_1 = 0$ .
- (ii) When testing joint hypothesis, you should
- (a) use  $t$ -statistics for each hypothesis and reject the null hypothesis if all of the restrictions fail.
  - (b) use the  $F$ -statistic and reject all the hypothesis if the statistic exceeds the critical value.
  - (c) use  $t$ -statistics for each hypothesis and reject the null hypothesis once the statistic exceeds the critical value for a single hypothesis.
  - (d) use the  $F$ -statistics and reject at least one of the hypothesis if the statistic exceeds the critical value.
- (iii) The overall regression  $F$ -statistic tests the null hypothesis that
- (a) all slope coefficients are zero.
  - (b) all slope coefficients and the intercept are zero.
  - (c) the intercept in the regression and at least one, but not all, of the slope coefficients is zero.
  - (d) the slope coefficient of the variable of interest is zero, but that the other slope coefficients are not.

- (iv) For a single restriction, the  $F$ -statistic
- is the square root of the  $t$ -statistic.
  - has a critical value of 1.96.
  - will be negative.
  - is the square of the  $t$ -statistic.
- (v) Let  $R^2_{unrestricted}$  and  $R^2_{restricted}$  be 0.4366 and 0.4149 respectively. The difference between the unrestricted and the restricted model is that you have imposed two restrictions. **That is, there are 3 regressors (excluding the intercept) in the unrestricted model and 1 regressor in the restricted model.** There are 420 observations. What is the  $F$ -statistic in this case?
- (vi) If you reject a joint null hypothesis using the  $F$ -test in a multiple hypothesis setting, then
- a series of  $t$ -tests may or may not give you the same conclusion.
  - the regression is always significant.
  - all of the hypotheses are always simultaneously rejected.
  - the  $F$ -statistic must be negative.
- (vii) A 95% confidence set for two or more coefficients is a set that contains
- the sample values of these coefficients in 95% of randomly drawn samples.
  - integer values only.
  - the same values as the 95% confidence intervals constructed for the coefficients.
  - the population values of these coefficients in 95% of randomly drawn samples.
- (viii) When testing the null hypothesis that two regression slopes are zero simultaneously, then you cannot reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level, if the confidence ellipse contains the point
- $(-1.96, 1.96)$ .
  - $(0, 1.96)$ .
  - $(0, 0)$ .
  - $(1.96^2, 1.96^2)$ .
- (ix) All of the following are true, with the exception of one condition:
- a high  $R^2$  or  $\bar{R}^2$  does not mean that the regressors are a true cause of the dependent variable.
  - a high  $R^2$  or  $\bar{R}^2$  does not mean that there is no omitted variable bias.
  - a high  $R^2$  or  $\bar{R}^2$  always means that an added variable is statistically significant.
  - a high  $R^2$  or  $\bar{R}^2$  does not necessarily mean that you have the most appropriate set of regressors.
- (x) Consider a regression with two variables, in which  $X_{1i}$  is the variable of interest and  $X_{2i}$  is the control variable. Conditional mean independence requires
- $E(u_i|X_{1i}, X_{2i}) = E(u_i|X_{2i})$
  - $E(u_i|X_{1i}, X_{2i}) = E(u_i|X_{1i})$
  - $E(u_i|X_{1i}) = E(u_i|X_{2i})$
  - $E(u_i) = E(u_i|X_{2i})$
- (xi) What is the critical value of  $F_{4,\infty}$  at the 5% significance level?